

## Nonlinear the first kind Fredholm integro-differential first-order equation with degenerate kernel and nonlinear maxima

Yuldashev T. K.<sup>1</sup>, Eshkuvatov Z. K.<sup>2,3</sup>, Nik Long N. M. A.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Uzbek-Israel Joint Faculty of High Technology and Engineering Mathematics, National University of Uzbekistan (NUUz), Tashkent, Uzbekistan*

<sup>2</sup>*Faculty of Ocean Engineering Technology and Informatics, University Malaysia Terengganu (UMT), Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu, zainidin@umt.edu.my*

<sup>3</sup>*Independent researcher, Faculty of Applied Mathematics and Intellectual Technologies, National University of Uzbekistan (NUUz), Tashkent, Uzbekistan*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), Serdang, Selangor Malaysia*

(Received 7 July 2021; Accepted 17 November 2021)

In this note, the problems of solvability and construction of solutions for a nonlinear Fredholm one-order integro-differential equation with degenerate kernel and nonlinear maxima are considered. Using the method of degenerate kernel combined with the method of regularization, we obtain an implicit the first-order functional-differential equation with the nonlinear maxima. Initial boundary conditions are used to ensure the solution uniqueness. In order to use the method of a successive approximations and prove the one value solvability, the obtained implicit functional-differential equation is transformed to the nonlinear Volterra type integro-differential equation with the nonlinear maxima.

**Keywords:** *integro-differential equation, nonlinear functional-differential equation, degenerate kernel, nonlinear maxima, regularization, one value solvability.*

**2010 MSC:** 45-01, 45B05, 47H30

**DOI:** 10.23939/mmc2022.01.074

### 1. Formulation of the problem

Integro-differential equations are the mathematical models to describe many physical phenomena and the operation in technical systems. Analytical and iterative methods are important in application of integro-differential equations [1–8].

In this paper, we study the initial value problem of one value solvability and construction of solutions of a nonlinear the first-order Fredholm integro-differential equation with the degenerate kernel and the nonlinear maxima. It is easy to replace the given equation by the implicit differential equation in case, when a kernel of integral is degenerate one. This equation is convenient to transform into Volterra integro-differential equation for solving by the method of successive approximations. The integral and integro-differential equations with degenerate kernels were considered by many authors (see, for example [9–20]). So, using the method of degenerate kernel combined with the regularization method, we obtain an implicit functional-differential equation with the nonlinear maxima. It is known fact that Fredholm functional integro-differential equation of the first kind is ill-posed. So, we use the initial boundary conditions to ensure the uniqueness of the solution. In order to use the successive approximations method, we transform the implicit functional-differential equation to the nonlinear Volterra type functional integro-differential equation, which is ill-posed, too. The one value solvability of this problem we have proved by given initial boundary conditions.

---

This work was supported by grant University Malaysia Terengganu (UMT) under RMC Research Grant Scheme (UMT, 2020). Project code is UMT/CRIM/2-2/2/14 Jld. 4(44).

On the segment  $[0; T]$  the following nonlinear Fredholm integro-differential equation of first kind and first-order is considered

$$\lambda \int_0^T K(t, s) F(s, u(s), \max\{u(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(s, u(s)); h_2(s, u(s))]\}, \dot{u}(s)) ds = f(t) \tag{1}$$

under the following conditions

$$\begin{cases} u(0) = \varphi_{01} = \text{const}, \\ \dot{u}(0) = \varphi_{02} = \text{const}, \\ u(t) = \varphi_1(t), \quad t \in [-h_{01}; 0], \\ u(t) = \varphi_2(t), \quad t \in [T; T + h_{02}], \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where  $0 < T$  is given real number,  $\lambda$  is nonzero parameter of marching,  $F(t, u, v, \vartheta) \in C([0; T] \times X \times X \times X)$ ,  $h_i(t, u) \in C([0; T] \times X)$ ,  $-h_{01} < h_1(t, u) < h_2(t, u) < T + h_{02}$ ,  $0 < h_{0i} = \text{const}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\varphi_1(t) \in C[-h_{01}; 0]$ ,  $\varphi_2(t) \in C[T; T + h_{02}]$ ,  $K(t, s) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) b_i(s)$ ,  $0 \neq a_i(t), b_i(s) \in C[0; T]$ ,  $X$  is closed set on real number set. Here it is assumed that each system of functions  $a_i(t)$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k}$ , and  $b_i(s)$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k}$ , is linearly independent,  $\varphi_1(0) = \varphi_{01}$ ,  $\varphi_2(T) = u(T)$ .

### 2. Method of degenerate kernel

Taking into account the degeneracy of the kernel, equation (1) is written in the following form

$$\lambda \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) b_i(s) F(s, u(s), \max\{u(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(s, u(s)); h_2(s, u(s))]\}, \dot{u}(s)) ds = f(t). \tag{3}$$

Using the notation

$$\vartheta(t) = F(t, u(t), \max\{u(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(t, u(t)); h_2(t, u(t))]\}, \dot{u}(t)) \tag{4}$$

and introducing new unknown function  $\vartheta_\varepsilon(t)$ , we obtain from (3) approximation Fredholm second kind integral equation with the small parameter

$$\varepsilon \vartheta_\varepsilon(t) = f(t) - \lambda \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) b_i(s) \vartheta_\varepsilon(s) ds, \tag{5}$$

where  $0 < \varepsilon$  is the small parameter and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \vartheta_\varepsilon(t) = \vartheta(t). \tag{6}$$

Using new notation

$$\alpha_i = \int_0^T b_i(s) \vartheta_\varepsilon(s) ds, \tag{7}$$

the integral equation (5) can be rewritten as follows

$$\vartheta_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[ f(t) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) \alpha_i \right]. \tag{8}$$

Substituting (8) into (7), we obtain the system of the linear equations (SLE)

$$\alpha_i + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j A_{ij} = B_i, \quad i = \overline{1, k}, \tag{9}$$

where

$$A_{ij} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T b_i(s) a_j(s) ds, \quad B_i = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T b_i(s) f(s) ds. \quad (10)$$

Consider the following determinants:

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 + \lambda A_{11} & A_{12} & \dots & A_{1k} \\ A_{21} & 1 + \lambda A_{22} & \dots & A_{2k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_{k1}^m & A_{k2} & \dots & 1 + \lambda A_{kk} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0, \quad (11)$$

$$\Delta_i(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 + \lambda A_{11} & \dots & A_{1(i-1)} & B_1 & A_{1(i+1)} & \dots & A_{1k} \\ A_{21} & \dots & A_{2(i-1)} & B_2 & A_{2(i+1)} & \dots & A_{2k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_{k1} & \dots & A_{k(i-1)} & B_k & A_{k(i+1)} & \dots & 1 + \lambda A_{kk} \end{vmatrix}, \quad i = \overline{1, k}.$$

SLE (9) is uniquely soluble for any finite right-hand sides, if the nondegeneracy condition (11) of the Fredholm determinant is satisfied. The determinant  $\Delta(\lambda)$  in (11) is a polynomial with respect to  $\lambda$  of degree not greater than  $k$ . The equation  $\Delta(\lambda) = 0$  has at most  $k$  different real roots. We denote them by  $\mu_l$  ( $l = \overline{1, p}$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq k$ ). Then  $\lambda = \mu_l$  are called irregular values of the spectral parameter  $\lambda$ . Other values of the spectral parameter  $\lambda \neq \mu_l$  are called regular ones. The solutions of SLE (9) for the regular values of parameter  $\lambda$  are written as

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\Delta_i(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}, \quad i = \overline{1, k}. \quad (12)$$

Substituting (12) into (8),

$$\vartheta_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[ f(t) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) \frac{\Delta_i(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} \right]. \quad (13)$$

By virtue of formula (10), we suppose that

$$f(t) = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) c_i, \quad c_i - \lambda \frac{\Delta_i(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} = \varepsilon C_i, \quad (14)$$

where  $c_i, C_i = \text{const}$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k}$ .

The parameter  $\lambda$  is marching parameter between free term function  $f(t)$  and kernel of integral equation (1). So, we choose one of the regular  $\lambda$  values satisfying the first of condition (14). Then, taking into account limit passing formula (6), from (13) we obtain

$$\vartheta(t) = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^k C_i a_i(t). \quad (15)$$

Now the function  $\vartheta(t)$  is known and defined by the formula (15). We rewrite the implicit equation (4) as

$$G(t, u(t), \max\{u(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(t, u(t)); h_2(t, u(t))]\}, \dot{u}(t)) = 0 \quad (16)$$

with given conditions (2), where  $G = F - \vartheta$ .

### 3. Transform into nonlinear Volterra type integro-differential equation

Studying the solvability of implicit functional-differential equation (16) we use the method of successive approximations combined with the method of compressing mapping. However, it is impossible to apply the method of successive approximations to the equation (16) with the nonlinear maxima directly. Therefore, the following method is proposed.

On the segment  $[0; T]$  the arbitrary positive defined and continuous function  $K_0(t)$  is considered. We introduce the notation

$$\psi(t, s) = \int_s^t K_0(\theta) d\theta, \quad \psi(t, 0) = \psi(t), \quad t \in [0; T].$$

It is obvious that  $\psi(t, s) = \psi(t) - \psi(s)$ . By the solution of equation (1) we mean a continuous function  $u(t)$  on the segment  $[0; T]$  that satisfies equation (1) with the given conditions (2) and the Lipschitz condition:

$$\max \{ \|u(t) - u(s)\|; \|\dot{u}(t) - \dot{u}(s)\| \} \leq L_0 |t - s|, \tag{17}$$

where  $0 < L_0 = \text{const}$ ,  $\|u(t)\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u(t)|$ .

We write the implicit equation (16) as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}(t) + \int_0^t K_0(s) \dot{u}(s) ds &= \dot{u}(t) + \int_0^t K_0(s) \dot{u}(s) ds \\ &+ G(t, u(t), \max\{u(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(t, u(t)); h_2(t, u(t))]\}, \dot{u}(t)), \quad t \in [0; T]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using resolvent of the kernel  $[-K_0(s)]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}(t) &= \dot{u}(t) + \int_0^t K_0(s) \dot{u}(s) ds + G(t, u(t), \max\{u(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(t, u(t)); h_2(t, u(t))]\}, \dot{u}(t)) \\ &+ \int_0^t K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} \left\{ -\dot{u}(s) + \int_0^s K_0(\theta) \dot{u}(\theta) d\theta \right. \\ &\left. - G(s, u(s), \max\{u(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(s, u(s)); h_2(s, u(s))]\}, \dot{u}(s)) \right\} ds, \quad t \in [0; T]. \tag{18} \end{aligned}$$

Applying Dirichlet's formula to (18) (see [21]), we derive the following Volterra type nonlinear functional integro-differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}(t) &= \text{Im}_1(t; \dot{u}) \equiv \int_0^t H(t, s) \dot{u}(s) ds \\ &+ \left[ \dot{u}(t) + G(t, u(t), \max\{u(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(t, u(t)); h_2(t, u(t))]\}, \dot{u}(t)) \right] \exp\{-\psi(t)\} \\ &+ \int_0^t K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} \left\{ \dot{u}(t) - \dot{u}(s) + G(t, u(t), \max\{u(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(t, u(t)); h_2(t, u(t))]\}, \dot{u}(t)) \right. \\ &\left. - G(s, u(s), \max\{u(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(s, u(s)); h_2(s, u(s))]\}, \dot{u}(s)) \right\} ds, \quad t \in [0; T], \tag{19} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H(t, s) = K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} - \int_s^t K_0(\theta) \exp\{-\psi(t, \theta)\} d\theta. \tag{20}$$

Integrating functional integro-differential equation (19) on the interval  $(0; t)$  with the initial condition  $u(0) = \varphi_{01}$ , we obtain the following functional integro-differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t) = \text{Im}_2(t; u) &\equiv \varphi_{01} + \int_0^t (t-s)H(t, s) \dot{u}(s) ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \left[ \dot{u}(s) + G(s, u(s), \max \{u(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(s, u(s)); h_2(s, u(s))]\}, \dot{u}(s)) \right] \exp\{-\psi(s)\} ds \\
&+ \int_0^t (t-s)K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} \left\{ \dot{u}(t) - \dot{u}(s) + G(t, u(t), \max \{u(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(t, u(t)); h_2(t, u(t))]\}, \dot{u}(t)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - G(s, u(s), \max \{u(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(s, u(s)); h_2(s, u(s))]\}, \dot{u}(s)) \right\} ds, \quad t \in [0; T], \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1.** The nonlinear functional integro-differential equations (19) and (21) are ill-posed [22], so we will study them with given conditions (2). In addition, we consider the conditions (2) as  $u(t-0) = u(t+0)$  at the points  $t = 0$  and  $t = T$ .

Let the conditions (11) and (14) are satisfied. Then, instead of Fredholm functional integro-differential equation of the first kind (1) we will study Volterra type functional integro-differential equations (19) and (21) with conditions (2).

**Theorem 1.** Let the conditions (17) are satisfied and

1.  $\|G(t, u(t), v(t), \vartheta(t))\| \leq M_0$ ,  $0 < M_0 = \text{const}$ ;
2.  $|G(t, u_1(t), v_1(t), \vartheta_1(t)) - G(t, u_2(t), v_2(t), \vartheta_2(t))| \leq L_1(t) (|u_1(t) - u_2(t)| + |v_1(t) - v_2(t)| + |\vartheta_1(t) - \vartheta_2(t)|)$ ;
3.  $|h_i(t, u_1(t)) - h_i(t, u_2(t))| \leq L_{2i}(t) |u_1(t) - u_2(t)|$ ,  $0 < L_{2i}(t) \in C[0; T]$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;
4.  $\rho < 1$ , where  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} [P_1(t) + P_2(t) + V_1(t) + V_2(t)]$ , with

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1(t) &= L_1(t) [2 + L_0(L_{21}(t) + L_{22}(t))] \tilde{Q}(t), \quad V_2(t) = \int_0^t (t-s) Q(t, s) ds + (1 + L_1(t)) \tilde{Q}(t), \\
P_1(t) &= L_1(t) [2 + L_0(L_{21}(t) + L_{22}(t))] Q(t, 0), \quad P_2(t) = \int_0^t Q(t, s) ds + (1 + L_1(t)) Q(t, 0), \\
Q(t, s) &= \exp\{-\psi(t)\} + 2 \int_s^t K_0(\theta) \exp\{-\psi(t, \theta)\} d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the nonlinear functional integro-differential equation (21) with conditions (2) has a unique solution on the segment  $[0; T]$ .

**Proof.** We suppose that Picard iteration processes for the functional integro-differential equations (19) and (21) are given by

$$\dot{u}_0(t) = \varphi_{02}, \quad \dot{u}_{n+1}(t) = \text{Im}_1(t; \dot{u}_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \in [0; T], \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{cases} u_0(t) = \varphi_1(t), & t \in [-h_1; 0], \\ u_0(t) = \varphi_{01}, & t \in [0; T], \\ u_0(t) = \varphi_2(t), & t \in [T; T+h_2], \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} u_{n+1}(t) = \varphi_1(t), & t \in [-h_1; 0], \\ u_{n+1}(t) = \text{Im}_2(t; u_n), & n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \in [0; T], \\ u_{n+1}(t) = \varphi_2(t), & t \in [T; T+h_2], \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

Firstly, we estimate the function  $H(t, s)$ , given by formula (20):

$$|H(t, s)| \leq K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} + 2 \int_s^t K_0(\theta) \exp\{-\psi(t, \theta)\} d\theta = Q(t, s). \quad (24)$$

It is obvious that the following estimates are true

$$\|\dot{u}_0(t)\| \leq |\varphi_{02}| < \infty, \quad (25)$$

$$\|u_0(t)\| \leq \max \left\{ |\varphi_{01}|; \max_{-h_1 \leq t \leq 0} |\varphi_1(t)|; \max_{T \leq t \leq T+h_2} |\varphi_2(t)| \right\} = \Delta_0 < \infty. \quad (26)$$

By virtue of conditions of theorem and Picard processes (22) and (23), by using estimates (25) and (26), for the first approximations we obtain the next estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\dot{u}_1(t)| &\leq \int_0^t \|H(t, s)\| \cdot \|\dot{u}_0(s)\| ds + \left[ \|\dot{u}_0(t)\| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \|G(t, u_0(t), \max\{u_0(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(t, u_0(t)); h_2(t, u_0(t))]\}, \dot{u}_0(t))\| \right] \exp\{-\psi(t)\} \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} \left[ \|\dot{u}_0(t) - \dot{u}_0(s)\| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2 \|G(t, u_0(t), \max\{u_0(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(t, u_0(t)); h_2(t, u_0(t))]\}, \dot{u}_0(t))\| \right] ds \\
 &\leq |\varphi_{02}| \int_0^t Q(t, s) ds + (|\varphi_{02}| + M_0) \exp\{-\psi(t)\} \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} (L_0|t - s| + 2M_0) ds \\
 &\leq |\varphi_{02}| \int_0^t Q(t, s) ds + \Delta_{11}Q(t, 0), \tag{27}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_{11} &= \max\{|\varphi_{02}| + M_0; L_0T + 2M_0\}; \\
 |u_1(t)| &\leq \Delta_0 + \int_0^t \|(t - s)H(t, s)\| \cdot \|\dot{u}_0(s)\| ds + \int_0^t \exp\{-\psi(s)\} \left[ \|\dot{u}_0(s)\| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \|G(s, u_0(s), \max\{u_0(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(s, u_0(s)); h_2(s, u_0(s))]\}, \dot{u}_0(s))\| \right] ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t (t - s)K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} \left[ \|\dot{u}_0(t) - \dot{u}_0(s)\| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2 \|G(t, u_0(t), \max\{u_0(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(t, u_0(t)); h_2(t, u_0(t))]\}, \dot{u}_0(t))\| \right] ds \\
 &\leq \Delta_0 + |\varphi_{02}| \int_0^t (t - s)Q(t, s) ds + (|\varphi_{02}| + M_0) \int_0^t \exp\{-\psi(s)\} ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t (t - s)K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} (L_0|t - s| + 2M_0) ds \\
 &\leq \Delta_0 + |\varphi_{02}| \int_0^t (t - s)Q(t, s) ds + \Delta_{11}\tilde{Q}(t), \tag{28}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{Q}(t) = \int_0^t \exp\{-\psi(s)\} ds + 2 \int_0^t (t - s)K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} ds.$$

By virtue of the first and the second conditions of theorem, analogously to estimates (27) and (28) for the arbitrary difference of approximations

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\dot{u}_{n+1}(t) - \dot{u}_n(t)| &\leq \int_0^t \|H(t, s)\| \cdot \|\dot{u}_n(s) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(s)\| \\
 &\quad + \exp\{-\psi(t)\} \left[ \|\dot{u}_n(t) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(t)\| + L_1(t)\|u_n(t) - u_{n-1}(t)\| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left\| \max\{u_n(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(t, u_n(t)); h_2(t, u_n(t))]\} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \max\{u_{n-1}(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(t, u_{n-1}(t)); h_2(t, u_{n-1}(t))]\} \right\| + \|\dot{u}_n(t) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(t)\| \right] \\
 &\quad + 2 \int_0^t K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} \left[ \|\dot{u}_n(s) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(s)\| + L_1(s)\|u_n(s) - u_{n-1}(s)\| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left\| \max\{u_n(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(s, u_n(s)); h_2(s, u_n(s))]\} \right\| \right] ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \max\{u_{n-1}(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(s, u_{n-1}(s)); h_2(s, u_{n-1}(s))]\} \\
& + \|\dot{u}_n(s) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(s)\| \Big] ds. \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

To continue estimate the norm in (29) we use condition (17) and the third condition of the theorem. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\max\{u_n(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(s, u_n(s)); h_2(s, u_n(s))]\} - \max\{u_{n-1}(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(s, u_{n-1}(s)); h_2(s, u_{n-1}(s))]\}\| \\
& \leq \|\max\{u_n(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(s, u_n(s)); h_2(s, u_n(s))]\} - \max\{u_{n-1}(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(s, u_n(s)); h_2(s, u_n(s))]\}\| \\
& \quad + \|\max\{u_{n-1}(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(s, u_n(s)); h_2(s, u_n(s))]\} \\
& \quad - \max\{u_{n-1}(\tau) | \tau \in [h_1(s, u_{n-1}(s)); h_2(s, u_{n-1}(s))]\}\| \\
& \leq \|\max\{|u_n(\tau) - u_{n-1}(\tau)| : \tau \in [h_1(s, u_n(s)); h_2(s, u_n(s))]\}\| \\
& + L_0 \sum_{i=1}^2 \|h_i(s, u_n(s)) - h_i(s, u_{n-1}(s))\| \leq [1 + L_0(L_{21}(s) + L_{22}(s))] \|u_n(s) - u_{n-1}(s)\|. \tag{30}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (30) into (29),

$$\begin{aligned}
|\dot{u}_{n+1}(t) - \dot{u}_n(t)| & \leq \int_0^t Q(t, s) \|\dot{u}_n(s) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(s)\| ds + \exp\{-\psi(t)\} \\
& \quad \times [L_1(t)[2 + L_0(L_{21}(t) + L_{22}(t))] \|u_n(t) - u_{n-1}(t)\| + (1 + L_1(t)) \|\dot{u}_n(t) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(t)\|] \\
& + 2 \int_0^t K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} [L_1(s)[2 + L_0(L_{21}(s) + L_{22}(s))] \|u_n(s) - u_{n-1}(s)\| \\
& + (1 + L_1(s)) \|\dot{u}_n(s) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(s)\|] ds \\
& \leq P_1(t) \|u_n(t) - u_{n-1}(t)\| + P_2(t) \|\dot{u}_n(t) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(t)\|, \tag{31}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1(t) & = L_1(t) [2 + L_0(L_{21}(t) + L_{22}(t))] Q(t, 0), \\
P_2(t) & = \int_0^t Q(t, s) ds + (1 + L_1(t)) Q(t, 0), \\
Q(t, s) & = \exp\{-\psi(t)\} + 2 \int_0^t K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} ds;
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)| & \leq \int_0^t (t-s) Q(t, s) \|\dot{u}_n(s) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(s)\| ds + \int_0^t \exp\{-\psi(s)\} \\
& \quad \times [L_1(s) [2 + L_0(L_{21}(s) + L_{22}(s))] \|u_n(s) - u_{n-1}(s)\| + (1 + L_1(s)) \|\dot{u}_n(s) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(s)\|] ds \\
& + 2 \int_0^t (t-s) K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} \\
& \quad \times [L_1(s) [2 + L_0(L_{21}(s) + L_{22}(s))] \|u_n(s) - u_{n-1}(s)\| + (1 + L_1(s)) \|\dot{u}_n(s) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(s)\|] ds \\
& \leq V_1(t) \|u_n(t) - u_{n-1}(t)\| + V_2(t) \|\dot{u}_n(t) - \dot{u}_{n-1}(t)\|, \tag{32}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$V_1(t) = L_1(t) [2 + L_0(L_{21}(t) + L_{22}(t))] \tilde{Q}(t), \tag{33}$$

$$V_2(t) = \int_0^t (t-s) Q(t, s) ds + (1 + L_1(t)) \tilde{Q}(t), \tag{34}$$

$$\tilde{Q}(t) = \int_0^t \exp\{-\psi(s)\} ds + 2 \int_0^t (t-s) K_0(s) \exp\{-\psi(t, s)\} ds. \tag{35}$$

From the estimates (31) and (32) it follows that

$$\|U_{n+1}(t) - U_n(t)\| \leq \rho \cdot \|U_n(t) - U_{n-1}(t)\|, \tag{36}$$

where

$$\|U_{n+1}(t) - U_n(t)\| \leq \max \{ \|u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)\|; \|\dot{u}_{n+1}(t) - \dot{u}_n(t)\| \},$$

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} [P_1(t) + P_2(t) + V_1(t) + V_2(t)].$$

Choosing the function  $K_0(t)$ , let take into account that

$$\psi(t, s) = \int_s^t K_0(\theta) d\theta \gg 1, \quad t \in [0; T].$$

Hence, we obtain that  $\exp\{-\psi(t)\} \ll 1$ . So, the functions  $H(t, s)$  and  $Q(t, s)$  are small. Then the functions  $L_1(t)$ ,  $L_{2i}(t)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  we can choose such that  $\rho < 1$  and the last condition of the theorem is satisfied. We consider the solution of the integro-differential equations (19) and (21) in the space of the continuous functions  $C[0; T]$ , satisfying condition (17). Since  $\|u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)\| \leq \|U_{n+1}(t) - U_n(t)\|$ , it follows from the estimate (36) that the integral operator on the right-hand side of (21) with conditions (2) is compressing mapping. So, from the estimates (25)–(28) and (36) implies that the integro-differential equation (21) with conditions (2) has a unique solution on the segment  $[0; T]$ . The theorem is proved. ■

- [1] Bakirova E. A., Assanova A. T., Kadirbayeva Z. M. A problem with parameter for the integro-differential equations. *Mathematical Modelling and Analysis*. **26** (1), 34–54 (2021).
- [2] Efendiev M., Vougalter V. Solvability of some integro-differential equations with drift. *Osaka Journal of Mathematics*. **57** (2), 247–265 (2020).
- [3] El-Sayeda A. M. A., Aahmed R. G. Solvability of the functional integro-differential equation with self-reference and state-dependence. *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*. **13** (1), 1–8 (2020).
- [4] Sidorov N., Sidorov D., Dreglea A. Solvability and bifurcation of solutions of nonlinear equations with Fredholm operator. *Symmetry*. **12** (6), 912 (2020).
- [5] Rojas E. M., Sidorov N. A., Sinitsyn A. V. A boundary value problem for noninsulated magnetic regime in a vacuum diode. *Symmetry*. **12** (4), 617 (2020).
- [6] Zhang Y. Solvability of a class of integro-differential equations and connections to one-dimensional inverse problems. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. **321** (1), 286–298 (2006).
- [7] Falaleev M. V. Fundamental operator-valued functions of singular integrodifferential operators in Banach spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*. **230** (5), 782–785 (2018).
- [8] Falaleev M. V., Orlov S. S. Degenerate integro-differential operators in Banach spaces and their applications. *Russian Mathematics*. **55** (10), 59–69 (2011).
- [9] Assanova A. T., Bakirova E. A., Kadirbayeva Z. M. Numerical solution to a control problem for integro-differential equations. *Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*. **60** (2), 203–221 (2020).
- [10] Dzhumabaev D. S. New general solutions to linear Fredholm integro-differential equations and their applications on solving the boundary value problems. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*. **327** (1), 79–108 (2018).
- [11] Dzhumabaev D. S., Mynbayeva S. T. New general solution to a nonlinear Fredholm integro-differential equation. *Eurasian Mathematical Journal*. **10** (4), 24–33 (2019).
- [12] Dzhumabaev D. S., Mynbayeva S. T. One approach to solve a nonlinear boundary value problem for the Fredholm integro-differential equation. *Bulletin of the Karaganda university-Mathematics*. **97** (1), 27–36 (2020).
- [13] Dzhumabaev D. S., Zharmagambetov A. S. Numerical method for solving a linear boundary value problem for Fredholm integro-differential equations. *News of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan-Series Physico-Mathematical*. **2** (312), 5–11 (2017).



- [14] Yuldashev T. K. Nonlocal mixed-value problem for a Boussinesq-type integrodifferential equation with degenerate kernel. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*. **68** (8), 1278–1296 (2016).
- [15] Yuldashev T. K. Mixed problem for pseudoparabolic integrodifferential equation with degenerate kernel. *Differential equations*. **53** (1), 99–108 (2017).
- [16] Yuldashev T. K. Determination of the coefficient and boundary regime in boundary value problem for integro-differential equation with degenerate kernel. *Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics*. **38** (3), 547–553 (2017).
- [17] Yuldashev T. K. Nonlocal boundary value problem for a nonlinear Fredholm integro-differential equation with degenerate kernel. *Differential Equations*. **54** (2), 1646–1653 (2018).
- [18] Yuldashev T. K. Spectral features of the solving of a Fredholm homogeneous integro-differential equation with integral conditions and reflecting deviation. *Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics*. **40** (12), 2116–2123 (2019).
- [19] Yuldashev T. K. On the solvability of a boundary value problem for the ordinary Fredholm integrodifferential equation with a degenerate kernel. *Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*. **59** (2), 241–252 (2019).
- [20] Yuldashev T. K. On a boundary-value problem for a fourth-order partial integro-differential equation with degenerate kernel. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*. **245** (4), 508–523 (2020).
- [21] Imanaliev M. I., Asanov A. Regularization, Uniqueness and Existence of Solution for Volterra Integral Equations of First Kind. *Studies by Integro-Diff. Equations*. Frunze, Pim. **21**, 3–38 (1988), (in Russian).
- [22] Lavrent'ev M. M., Romanov V. G. Shishatskii S. R. *Noncorrect problems of mathematical physics and analysis*. Moscow, Nauka (1980), (in Russian).

## Нелінійне інтегро-диференціальне рівняння Фредгольма першого порядку з виродженим ядром і нелінійними максимумами

Юлдашев Т. К.<sup>1</sup>, Ешкуватов З. К.<sup>2,3</sup>, Нік Лонг Н. М. А.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Узбецько-ізраїльський об'єднаний факультет високих технологій та інженерної математики, Національний університет Узбекистану (НУУз), Ташкент, Узбекистан*

<sup>2</sup> *Факультет технологій та інформатики океану,*

*Малайзійський університет Теренггану, Куала-Теренггану, Теренггану*

<sup>3</sup> *Незалежний дослідник, факультет прикладної математики та інтелектуальних технологій, Національний університет Узбекистану (НУУз), Ташкент, Узбекистан*

<sup>4</sup> *Кафедра математики, Факультет природничих наук, Університет Путра Малайзія (УПМ), Серданг, Селангор Малайзія*

У цій статті розглянуто проблеми розв'язності та побудови розв'язків нелінійного інтегро-диференціального рівняння Фредгольма першого порядку з виродженим ядром та нелінійними максимумами. Використовуючи метод виродженого ядра у поєднанні з методом регуляризації, отримано неявне функціонально-диференціальне рівняння першого порядку з нелінійними максимумами. Використовуємо початкові граничні умови, щоб забезпечити єдиність розв'язку. Для застосування методу послідовного наближення та доведення однозначного розв'язування, перетворено отримане неявне функціонально-диференціальне рівняння до нелінійного інтегро-диференціального рівняння Вольтерра з нелінійними максимумами.

**Ключові слова:** *інтегро-диференціальне рівняння, нелінійне функціонально-диференціальне рівняння, вироджене ядро, нелінійні максимуми, регуляризація, однозначне розв'язування.*