Vol. 7, No. 1, 2024

M. A. Sozanskyi, V. Ye. Stadnik, R. R. Guminilovych, K. M. Siryk, P. Yo. Shapoval Lviv Polytechnic National University, Department of Physical, General and Analytical chemistry martyn.a.sozanskyi@lpnu.ua

CHEMICAL SYNTHESIS OF SOLID SOLUTIONS OF MERCURY SULFIDE-SELENIDE FILMS IN THE PRESENCE OF SODIUM TARTRATE

https://doi.org/10.23939/ctas2024.01.021

Solid solutions films of mercury sulfide-selenide $(HgS_{1-x}Se_x)$ were synthesized on glass substrates by the chemical bath deposition method. Theoretical calculations of the boundary conditions for the HgS and HgSe formation in the mercury-tartrate-thiourea-selenosulfate system were made. The boundary conditions of $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ were defined by the overlap area between the constructed HgS and HgSe formation zones. The X-ray diffraction and elemental analysis showed that the obtained films are single-phase and consist of $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ substitutional solid solutions in zincblende modification. The effect of Na₂SeSO₃ concentration on the degree of S-Se substitution, as well as on the optical and morphological properties of $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ films, was investigated.

Key words: mercury sulfide; mercury selenide; semiconductor films; solid solution; chemical synthesis; X-ray diffraction; optical spectroscopy.

Introduction

Mercury sulfide (HgS) and mercury selenide (HgSe) belong to the A^2B^6 semiconductor compounds (chalcogenides of the zinc subgroup). HgS and HgSe in the form of coatings or films are difficult to obtain, which has led to the least study of these materials among A^2B^6 . The same applies to the intermediate phase, namely the solid solution of mercury selenide sulfide (HgS_{1-x}Se_x). It has variable properties, particularly optical and semiconductor, depending on the degree of S-Se substitution (x). If HgS_{1-x}Se_x is obtained in the form of a film with a predictable parameter x, and therefore with predetermined necessary properties, then it will be useful for the manufacture of some optical or electronic devices or their components.

The important point in obtaining the film is the method of its deposition onto substrates. One of the simplest and most cost-effective ways to obtain metal chalcogenide films is through chemical bath deposition [1-3]. In this method, the synthesis reaction takes place in a bath containing dissolved chemical reagents in an aqueous solution at a temperature below the boiling point of water. Films deposition occurs on substrates that are immersed in the bath with the working solution for a certain duration of the process. The aim of this work is to perform theoretical calculations of the boundary conditions for the formation of HgS and HgSe, as well as $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ in the mercury-tartrate-thiourea-selenosulfate system. Also, this work aims to carry out X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray fluorescence (XRF) elemental analysis, and investigate spectral dependences of optical transmittance and images of scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of the obtained $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ films.

Materials and research methods

To synthesize $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ films, the following chemical reagents were used: mercury (II) nitrate $(Hg(NO_3)_2)$, sodium tartrate $(Na_2C_4H_4O_6, Na_2Tart)$, thiourea $((NH_2)_2CS)$, and sodium selenosulfate (Na_2SeSO_3) . Glass plates with unit dimensions of 18.18 mm were used as the substrate material.

The chemical deposition method in the bath was used to synthesize the $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ films. The necessary amounts of chemicals were dissolved in distilled water to obtain working solutions with the molar concentrations of the components as given in Table 1. After that, the required volume of the working solution was poured into the bath containing the substrates and heated for a specified duration and temperature. When the deposition process was complete, the substrates were removed from the

bath, cleaned by immersing them in distilled water and dried in air.

Table 1

The conditions for the HgS_{1-x}Se_x films synthesis

Index	Value
C(Hg(NO ₃) ₂), mol/L	0.01
$C((NH_2)_2CS), mol/L$	0.02
$C(Na_2C_4H_4O_6), mol/L$	0.25
C(Na ₂ SeSO ₃), mol/L	0.001-0.005
Volume of working solution, mL	50
Process duration, min	5
pH of working solution	6.8–7.5

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis of the synthesized film samples was conducted using an Aeris Research X-ray diffractometer (CuKa⁻ radiation). The experimental diffraction arrays were processed for phase identification using the PowderCell program [4].

Elemental analysis of HgS1-xSex films was performed using an X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrometer ElvaX Light SDD (Elvatech). Quantitative analysis was performed only on the elements Hg, S, and Se. The remaining elements, present as the glass substrate material, were not included in the determination of weight and atomic composition.

The optical spectra of the HgS_{1-x}Se_x films T(L)were recorded in the transmittance (T) vs. Wavelength (L) dependencies using a Xion 500 spectrophotometer (range 340-900 nm, T accuracy ± 0.5 %). The optical band gaps (E_{σ}) were determined from $(\alpha \cdot hv)^2$ vs. hv dependencies by extrapolating the linear parts of $(\alpha \cdot hv)^2$ curves to the intersection with the hv (energy axis) [5].

Investigation of the films' surface morphology was carried out using REMMA-102-02 raster scanning electron microscope (SEM).

The pH value of the working solutions was measured with a pH-150 MI pH-meter, using a glass combined electrode.

Results and discussion

Under the given conditions of $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ film synthesis (Table 1), we have an aqueous system of mercury-tartrate-thiourea-selenosulfate. In this system, the $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ formation includes the following main processes: the complexation of Hg²⁺ and the decomposition of thiourea and sodium selenosulfate. Accordingly, the minimum concentration of

mercury (II) salt required for the formation of insoluble HgS and HgSe phases was calculated using the following equations, respectively [6, 7]:

$$C_{Hg^{2+}}^{min} = \frac{SP_{HgS} \cdot [H^{+}]^{2}}{\alpha_{Hg^{2+}} \cdot K_{H_{2S}}^{1,2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{K_{(NH_{2})_{2}CS} \cdot [(NH_{2})_{2}CS] \cdot \beta_{H_{2}CN_{2}}}{\beta_{H_{2}S}}}, (1)$$

$$C_{Hg^{2+}}^{min} = \frac{SP_{HgSe} \cdot [H^{+}]}{\alpha_{Hg^{2+}} \cdot K_{H_{2}Se}^{1,2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{K_{SeSO_{3}^{2-}} \cdot [SeSO_{3}^{2-}]}{\beta_{H_{2}Se}}}, (2)$$
where
$$\beta_{H_{2}Se} = [H^{+}]^{2} + K_{HSe^{-}}^{1}[H^{+}] + K_{H_{2}Se}^{1,2}$$

where

$$\beta_{H_2CN_2} = [H^+]^2 + K_{HCN_2^-}^1 [H^+] + K_{H_2CN_2}^{1,2} \qquad C_{Hg^{2+}}^{min}$$

is the minimum concentration of Hg^{2+} ions required for the formation of a solid phase of HgS or HgSe; $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{HgS}}$ is the solubility product of HgS (equal to $1.6 \cdot 10^{-52}$ [8]); SP_{HgSe} is the solubility product of HgSe (equal to 1.10^{-59} [7]); ${}^{pK_{H_2S}^{1,2}}$, ${}^{pK_{H_2Se}^{1,2}}$, ${}^{pK_{H_2CN_2}^{1,2}}$ $pK_{(NH_2)_2CS}^{1,2}$, $pK_{SeSO_3^{2-}}$ are dissociation constants of hydrogen sulfide, hydrogen selenide, hydrogen cyanamide, thiourea and selenosulfate, respectively; $\langle_{\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}}$ is the molar fraction of free Hg^{2+} ions in the solution. The value of $\langle_{Hg^{2+}}$ can be found from the following equation:

$$\alpha_{Hg^{2+}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{[L]}{\kappa_{1}^{1}} + \frac{[L]^{2}}{\kappa_{1}^{1/2}} + \dots + \frac{[L]^{n}}{\kappa_{1}^{1/2,\dots,n}}}$$
(3)

where [L] is the concentration of the free ligand, and $\kappa_{l}^{1,2,\dots,n}$ are constants of the instability of the metal complex forms. In particular, we used the following:

$$K_{L}^{1}(\text{Hg(Tart)}) = 10^{-7.0} [9],$$

$$pK_{L}^{1,2}((\text{Hg((NH_{2})_{2}\text{CS})_{2})^{2^{+}}) = 10^{-22.1} [10, 11],$$

$$pK_{L}^{1,2}(\text{Hg(SeSO_{3})_{2})^{2^{-}}) = 10^{-36.8} [9].$$

Based on the results of calculations using equations (1), (2), and (3) under the conditions given in Table 1, the dependencies of the minimum Hg^{2+} salt concentration required for the formation of insoluble HgS and HgSe phases at various pH values of the working solution were plotted (Fig. 1). It was also determined, as shown in [7], that the formation of the HgO phase should not occur. The boundary conditions of the $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ formation are the overlap area between the constructed HgS and HgSe formation zones. The pH measurement of working solutions indicated pH values from 6.8 to 7.5 with varying Na₂SeSO₃ concentrations from 0.001 to 0.005 mol/L, respectively. According to this result, the $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ phase can be obtained at the used

0.01 mol/L concentration of mercury (II) salt. However, higher concentrations of Na₂SeSO₃ (> 0.005 mol/L) lead to an increase in the alkalinity of the medium, as well as an increase in the formation rate of the insoluble phase. The latter causes difficulties in obtaining $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ in the film form, because in this case, it forms practically in precipitate form only.



Fig. 1. The boundary conditions of HgS and HgSe formation in the mercury-tartrate-thioureaselenosulfate system

Thus, in practice, it was possible to obtain films in the measured pH range marked in red in Fig. 1. The X-ray diffraction analysis of the synthesized samples was made (Fig. 2). According to the obtained XRD data, the films are single-phase and have a cubic structure (zincblende, sphalerite [12]). Their peaks are nearly close to the HgS compound (metacinnabar [13]), however, there are some shifts towards peaks of the HgSe compound, which increases within increasing Na₂SeSO₃ concentration in the working solution. This indicates the formation of HgS_{1-x}Se_x substitutional solid solu-

tions with different x parameters, which was determined by further XRF analysis.

The elemental analysis of obtained film samples by XRF (Fig. 3, Table 2) shows that HgS_{1-x}Se_x films, obtained at minimal Na₂SeSO₃ concentration (0.001 mol/L), consist of 5.5 at. % of selenium. It corresponds to x = 0.11 of the substitutional degree parameter of the HgS_{1-x}Se_x solid solution. The 0.002, 0.003, 0.004 and 0.005 mol/L of Na₂SeSO₃ concentration in working solution leads to 8.8, 14.1, 19.6 and 27.0 at. % of selenium content, respectively (corresponds to x = 0.176, 0.282, 0.392 and 0.54, respectively).



Fig. 2. XRD patterns of HgS_{1-x}Se_x films, deposited at different concentrations of Na₂SeSO₃



Fig. 3. XRF spectra of $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ films, deposited on glass substrates at different concentrations of Na_2SeSO_3 (peaks of elements included for quantitative analysis are filled in grey colour)

Table 2

Synthesized sample	Used Na ₂ SeSO ₃ concentration, mol/L	Element	Weight, %	Atomic, %
HgS _{1-x} Se _x film	0.001	Hg	84.2	49.6
		S	12.1	44.9
		Se	3.7	5.5
	0.002	Hg	83.1	49.7
		S	11.1	41.5
		Se	5.8	8.8
	0.003	Hg	81.5	49.4
		S	9.3	35.3
		Se	9.2	14.1
	0.004	Hg	79.9	50.1
		S	7.8	30.3
		Se	12.3	19.6
	0.005	Hg	77.6	49.8
		S	5.8	23.2
		Se	16.6	27.0

Results of elemental analysis of HgS_{1-x}Se_x films by X-ray fluorescence

The optical transmittance spectra T(L) of $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ films obtained at different Na_2SeSO_3 concentrations in working solution are shown in Fig. 4, *a*. An increase in light transmittance can be observed from the beginning to the end of the λ measurement range. The transmittance curves have jumps or bends located in the wavelength range of approximately 350–500 nm, which is typical for semiconductor films. With the increase of Na_2SeSO_3 concentration, they are slightly shifted to the longer wavelength region as a result of increasing the selenium amount in deposited $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ films.

The HgS_{1-x}Se_x films optical band gap (E_g) (Fig. 4, b) numerically increases from 2.62 to 2.85 eV within increasing Na₂SeSO₃ concentration in

the working solution. The change of E_g can be explained by smooth changes of semiconductor properties with the varies of the x substitutional parameter of HgS_{1-x}Se_x films, as well as changes in the amount of deposited film [14], which can be seen in the photographs of the films in Fig. 3.

The SEM results of the surface morphology of $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ films are shown in Fig. 5. A series of microphotographs indicates that $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ films deposited at various Na_2SeSO_3 concentrations are solid, homogeneous and uniform over the whole surface area and contain a small quantity of precipitate and defects. Their amount decreases with the increasing Na_2SeSO_3 concentration, as the amount of deposited film also decreases.



*Fig. 4. Optical transmittance spectra of HgS*_{1-x}*Se_x films, deposited at different concentrations of Na*₂*SeSO*₃

Chemical synthesis of solid solutions of mercury sulfide-selenide films in the presence of sodium tartrate



Fig. 5. Surface morphology of $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ films, obtained at different Na_2SeSO_3 concentrations: a - 0.001 mol/L; b - 0.003 mol/L; c - 0.005 mol/L

Conclusions

The boundary conditions for the formation of mercury sulfide and mercury selenide were determined in the mercury-tartrate-thiourea-selenosulfate system as well as the $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ formation region.

The $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ solid solutions films were synthesized on glass substrates by chemical bath deposition at different Na_2SeSO_3 concentrations. It was established that the formation of $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ films (under the given synthesis conditions) occurs in the nearly neutral region of the working solution medium, which is located within the defined area of $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ phase formation.

The effect of Na_2SeSO_3 concentration on the phase and elemental composition, as well as on the surface morphology and optical properties of the obtained $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ films were studied.

Based on the obtained results of chemical synthesis and studies in this work, the films of $HgS_{1-x}Se_x$ solid solution, by their variable semiconductor properties, are promising for manufacturing semiconductor materials or components in various electronic device applications.

This work was carried out with the support of the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine within the scientific work of the project for young scientists "Theoretical and experimental bases of chemical synthesis of modified A²B⁶ type film semiconductors for alternative energy" (state registration number 0124U000522). The sample analyses were performed on the equipment of the Scientific Equipment Collective Use Center: "Laboratory of Advanced Technologies, Creation and Physico-Chemical Analysis of New Substances and Functional Materials" Lviv Polytechnic National University (https://lpnu.ua/ckkno)

References

1. Mane, R. S., & Lokhande, C. D. (2000). Chemical deposition method for metal chalcogenide thin films. *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, 65, 1–31. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0254-0584(00)00217-0

2. Pawar, S. M., Pawar, B. S., Kim, J. H., Joo, O.-S., & Lokhande, C. D. (2011). Recent status of chemical bath deposited metal chalcogenide and Metal Oxide Thin Films. *Current Applied Physics*, 11, 117–161. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cap.2010.07.007

3. Deposition of metal sulphide thin films by chemical bath deposition technique: Review (2021). *International Journal of Thin Films Science and Technology*, 10, 45–57. DOI: https://doi.org/10.18576/ijtfst/100108

4. Kraus, W., & Nolze, G. (1996). *Powder cell* – a program for the representation and manipulation of crystal structures and calculation of the resulting X-ray powder patterns. *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, 29, 301–303. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1107/s0021889895014920

5. Raval, A. V., Shaikh, I. A., Jain, V. M., Shastri, N. M., Patel, P. B., Saini, L. K., & Shah, D. V. (2020). Deposition and characterization of indium selenide thin films for opto-electronic devices. *Journal of Nano- and Electronic Physics*, 12, 02010. DOI: https://doi.org/10.21272/jnep.12(2).02010

6. Shapoval, P., Sozanskyi, M., Yatchyshyn, I., Kulyk, B., Shpotyuk, M., & Gladyshevskii, R. (2016). The effect of different complexing agents on the properties of zinc sulfide thin films deposited from aqueous solutions. *Chemistry & Chemical Technology*, 10, 317–323. DOI: https://doi.org/10.23939/chcht10.03.317

7. Sozanskyi, M. A., Stadnik, V. E., Chaykivska, R. T., Shapoval, P. Y., Yatchyshyn, Y. Y., & Vasylechko, L. O. (2018). The effect of different complexing agents on the properties of mercury selenide films deposited from aqueous solutions. *Voprosy Khimii i Khimicheskoi Tekhnologii*, 4, 69–76.

8. Zheng, Z., Zhang, M., Xiao, Y., Wei, L., & Li, C. (2017). Effect of CYS, GSH, and pH on Mercury release from Tibetan medicine Zuotai, β -HgS, and α -HgS in

artificial gastrointestinal juices. *Biological Trace Element Research*, 184, 536–545. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-017-1185-x

9. Donald R. B. (2004). Public Data Resource: NIST SRD 46. Critically Selected Stability Constants of Metal Complexes: Version 8.0 for Windows. National Institute of Standards and Technology. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.18434/M32154

10. Arencibia, A., Aguado, J., & Arsuaga, J. M. (2010). Regeneration of thiol-functionalized mesostructured silica adsorbents of Mercury. *Applied Surface Science*, 256, 5453– 5457. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2009.12.139

11. Liu, Z., Peng, B., Chai, L., Liu, H., Yang, S., Yang, B., Xiang, K., Liu, C., & Wang, D. (2017). Selective removal of elemental mercury from highconcentration SO₂ flue gas by thiourea solution and investigation of mechanism. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 56, 4281–4287. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.7b00044 12. Thiodjio Sendja, B., Tchana Kamgne, D., Aquilanti, G., Olivi, L., & Plaisier, J. R. (2018). Low-range thermal investigation of Zincblende-Type ZnS by combined extended X-ray absorption fine structure and X-ray diffraction techniques. *Physica B: Condensed Matter*, 545, 481–490. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2018.06.005

13. Moreno-Close, E. R., Martínez-Benítez, A., Meléndez-Lira, M., Ceja-Andrade, I., Chávez-Chávez, A., Pérez-Centeno, A., Quiñones-Galván, J. G., & Santana-Aranda, M. A. (2020). Mercury sulfide thin film deposition using [HgI₄]²⁻ complex ions. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics*, 31, 4611– 4617. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-020-03013-6

14. Sozanskyi, M. A., Siryk, K. M., Shapoval, P. Yo., Huminilovych, R. R., Stadnik, V. E., & Laruk, M. M. (2023). Chemical deposition of multilayer HgS Films. *Journal of Nano- and Electronic Physics*, 15, 06010. DOI: https://doi.org/10.21272/jnep.15(6).06010

М. А. Созанський, В. Є. Стаднік, Р. Р. Гумінілович, К. М. Сірик, П. Й. Шаповал Національний університет "Львівська політехніка", кафедра фізичної, аналітичної та загальної хімії

ХІМІЧНИЙ СИНТЕЗ ПЛІВКОВИХ ТВЕРДИХ РОЗЧИНІВ СУЛЬФІДУ-СЕЛЕНІДУ РТУТІ В ПРИСУТНОСТІ ТАРТРАТУ НАТРІЮ

Плівкові тверді розчини сульфіду-селеніду ртуті ($HgS_{1-x}Se_x$) синтезовано на скляних підкладках методом хімічного осадження у ванні. Виконано теоретичні розрахунки граничних умов утворення HgS і HgSe у системі ртуть – тартрат – тіосечовина – селеносульфат. Граничні умови HgS_{1-x}Se_x визначено областю перекриття побудованих зон утворення HgS і HgSe. Рентгенодифракційний та елементний аналіз показав, що отримані плівки є однофазними і складаються із твердих розчинів заміщення HgS_{1-x}Se_x у модифікації цинкової обманки. Досліджено вплив концентрації Na₂SeSO₃ на ступінь заміщення S-Se, а також на оптичні та морфологічні властивості плівок HgS_{1-x}Se_x.

Ключові слова: сульфід ртуті; селенід ртуті; напівпровідникові плівки; твердий розчин; хімічний синтез; рентгенівська дифракція; оптична спектроскопія.